Case & 1133 cov 02322200 JUSSW | Domcumentt 38 | Filed 022/017/1144 | Pragge 1.106 55

1 2	Tammy B. Webb (SBN 227593) tbwebb@shb.com SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P. One Montgomery, Suite 2700				
3 4	San Francisco, California 94104 Felephone: (415) 544-1900 Facsimile: (415) 391-0281				
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Steven A. Zalesin (admitted pro hac vice) sazalesin@pbwt.com PATTERSON BELKNAP WEBB & TYLER LLP 1133 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036-6710 Telephone: (212) 336-2000 Facsimile: (212) 336-2222 Attorneys for Defendants THE COCA-COLA COMPANY and COCA-COLA REFRESHMENTS USA, INC. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	GEORGE ENGURASOFF and JOSHUA OGDEN, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v. THE COCA-COLA COMPANY and COCA- COLA REFRESHMENTS USA, INC., Defendants.	Case No. 3:13-cv-03990-JSW STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING CONTINUANCE OF HEARING DATE ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' AMENDED COMPLAINT AND INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE Judge: Hon. Jeffrey S. White			
24	Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6.1(b) and 7-7, Plaintiffs George Engurasoff and Joshua Ogden				
25	("Plaintiffs"), and Defendants The Coca-Cola Company and Coca-Cola Refreshments USA, Inc				
26	("Defendants") (collectively referred to as the "Parties") through their respective counsel hereby				
27	stipulate as follows:				
28	WHEREAS, the Complaint was served on Defendants on September 13, 2013;				
		STIPLII ATION AND IPROPOSEDI ORDER			

CaaseB1133-cov-02399900-J35W Domcumentt39 Filed 022/0070/1144 PPagge2 20 fb 5

1	WHEREAS, the Court granted the Parties' Stipulation and Proposed Order Extending Time
2	to File Response to Complaint and Setting Briefing Schedule for Motion to Dismiss on September
3	24, 2013 (Dkt. No. 10);
4	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint was filed on October 21, 2013 (Dkt. No. 15);
5	WHEREAS, Defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint or
6	November 22, 2013 (Dkt. No. 30);
7	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed an Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss on January 10
8	2014 (Dkt. No. 33);
9	WHEREAS, the Court granted Defendants' Emergency Motion for Extension of Time to File
10	a Reply Brief in support of their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint (Dkt. No. 37);
11	WHEREAS, the deadline for Defendants to file a Reply Brief in support of their Motion to
12	Dismiss Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint is February 7, 2014;
13	WHEREAS, pursuant to Plaintiffs' counsel's request, Defendants agreed to continue the
14	hearing date on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint and the Initial Case
15	Management Conference from February 21, 2014 to March 4 or March 7 in order to accommodate
16	Plaintiffs' counsel's scheduling conflict (Dkt. 34);
17	WHEREAS, on January 29, 2014, the Court modified and granted the Parties' Stipulation
18	and Proposed Order and continued the hearing date on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss and the Initia
19	Case Management Conference to March 21, 2014 (Dkt. No. 35);
20	WHEREAS, the Parties stipulated to continue the hearing on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss
21	and the Initial Case Management Conference to March 4, 2014 or March 7, 2014, and not the March
22	21 date set by the Court, because Defendants' lead counsel is scheduled to begin trial on March 18
23	2014 in a case captioned Janssen Products, L.P., et al. v. Lupin Limited, et al., C.A. No. 10-cv-
24	05954 (WHW-SCM), pending in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey;
25	WHEREAS, Janssen Products, L.P., et al. v. Lupin Limited, et al. is a patent infringemen
26	action that was brought against three separate generic drug manufacturers, each of whom seek
27	approval to market a version of the plaintiff's billion-dollar drug, PREZISTA®;

2

Caaee81133-0x/0339990-J35W Domcumentt88 Filed002/017/1144 Proges3of55

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

27

28

WHEREAS, Janssen Products, L.P., et al. v. Lupin Limited, et al. involves five separate patents, each with multiple claims in issue;

WHEREAS, the Honorable William H. Walls of the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey, presiding over *Janssen Products, L.P., et al. v. Lupin Limited, et al.*, has set a firm trial date of March 18, 2014 and has set aside fourteen (14) trial days in which to try the action;

WHEREAS, although possible that the trial of the *Janssen Products*, *L.P.*, *et al. v. Lupin Limited*, *et al.* matter will conclude sooner than the fourteen days allotted by the Honorable William H. Walls, the parties to the matter presently anticipate that the trial will proceed through to the end of the first week of April 2014;

WHEREAS, this Court's calendar indicates that April 18, 2014 and April 25, 2014 are the only available dates in April on which to hold the hearing on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint and the Initial Case Management Conference;

WHEREAS, the Parties jointly request that the hearing on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint and the Initial Case Management Conference be continued from March 21, 2014 to April 18, 2014 or April 25, 2014;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the Parties through their respective attorneys of record that:

- 1. Due to defense counsel's unavailability after March 10, 2014 (*see* January 21, 2014 Stipulation, Dkt. 34) and before April 7, 2014 because of a fourteen-day, patent infringement trial set to begin on March 18, 2014 in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey, the current hearing date for Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint is continued from March 21, 2014 to April 18, 2014 or April 25, 2014; and
- 2. Pursuant to the agreement of the parties, in the event the hearing date on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint is continued pursuant to Paragraph 1 above, the Initial Case Management Conference currently set for March 21, 2014 is continued to the date on which the hearing on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss is reset,

Caase8113-cxv-0339990-JJSW Doccumentt28 Filed022/070/114 Pragge445655

1	with the Initial Case Mana	agement Conference to take place following the hearing or
2	Defendants' Motion to Dism	niss.
3		
4	Dated: February 7, 2014	SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P.
5		/ /T
6		/s/ Tammy B. Webb TAMMY B. WEBB
7		Attorneys for Defendants THE COCA-COLA COMPANY and
8		COCA-COLA COMPANY and COCA-COLA REFRESHMENTS USA, INC.
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Caaee81133-cov-0239990-JJSSW | Documentt38 | Filed 022/007/1144 | Pragge55o655

1	Dated: February 7, 2014 FLEISCHMANN LAW FIRM
2	
3	/s/ Bradley F. Silverman BRADLEY F. SILVERMAN
4	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
5	GEORGE ENGURASOFF and JOSHUA OGDEN
6	
7	Pursuant to L.R. 5-11(i)(3), I attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained
8	from the other signatories.
9 10	By: <u>/s/ Tammy B. Webb</u> Tammy B. Webb
11	
12	[PROPOSED] ORDER
13	The Court hereby orders that:
14	1. The current hearing date for Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Amended
15	Complaint is continued from March 21, 2014 to April 18, 2014 at 9:00 a.m.;
16	2. The Initial Case Management Conference currently set for March 21, 2014 is continued
17	11:00 a.m. to April <u>18</u> , 2014 at 1:30 p.m.
18	
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.
20	and line
21	Dated: February 10, 2014 THE FONDRABLE JEFFREY S. WHITE
22	UNI V ÉD ÉSTATE S DISTRICT JUDGE
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	5